

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
10 AT TACOMA

11 MATTHEW G. SILVA,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 C. J. MERRITT, *et al.*,

15 Defendants.

Case No. C07-5482 BHS/KLS

ORDER EXTENDING PLAINTIFF'S
TIME TO RESPOND TO
DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR
SUMMARY JUDGMENT

16 Noted on the court's docket for consideration on June 27, 2008 is Defendants' motion for
17 summary judgment. (Dkt. # 41). Plaintiff's deadline to respond to the motion was on June 20,
18 2008. On June 30, 2008, Plaintiff filed a motion requesting an extension until August 20, 2008 to
19 file his response, because a significant number of the cases cited in Defendants' motion are not
20 available in the WSP law library. (Dkt. # 51). Defendants oppose the request as untimely and
21 because Plaintiff has not provided good cause or excusable neglect for a two-month extension.

22 Having carefully reviewed the motion, Defendants' opposition, and balance of the record,
23 the Court **ORDERS**:

- 24 (1) Plaintiff's motion for an extension (Dkt. # 51) is **GRANTED**; Plaintiff shall file his
25 response to Defendants' motion for summary judgment (Dkt. # 41) on or before

